

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSENDER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.upote.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/815,130	03/31/2004	Norbert Steven Parsoneault	8209.053.NPUS01	9169
75635 7590 10/29/2010 McCarthy Law Group 5830 Northwest Expressway, #353			EXAMINER	
			KRAUSE, JUSTIN MITCHELL	
Oklahoma City	y, OK 73132		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3656	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/29/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of Panel Decision	Application/Control No.	Applicant(s)/Patent under Reexamination
from Pre-Appeal Brief	10/815,130	PARSONEAULT ET AL.
Review		Art Unit
Tte vie v	JUSTIN KRAUSE	3656

This is in response to the Pre-Appeal	Brief Request for Review filed 8/31/	10.
 Improper Request – The F reason(s): 	Request is improper and a conference	e will not be held for the following
The request does not incl	not been filed concurrent with the Pi lude reasons why a review is appropi is included with the Pre-Appeal Brief	riate.
The time period for filing a respor the mail date of the last Office co	nse continues to run from the receipt mmunication, if no Notice of Appeal I	date of the Notice of Appeal or from nas been received.
held. The application remains und is required to submit an appeal br brief will be reset to be one month running from the receipt of the no	nt Appeals and Interferences – A F der appeal because there is at least of a coordance with 37 CFR 41.37 of from mailing this decision, or the be tice of appeal, whichever is greater. 7 CFR 1.136 based upon the mail dable.	one actual issue for appeal. Applical The time period for filing an appea lance of the two-month time period Further, the time period for filing of t
☐ The panel has determine Claim(s) allowed: 28. Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1.6-14.21 Claim(s) withdrawn from core		ows:
	conference has been held. The rejectution on the merits remains closed. I	
	conference has been held. The reject action is required by applicant at this	
All participants:		
(1) <u>JUSTIN KRAUSE</u> .	(3)/Marc Jime	enez/.
(2) Thomas R. Hannon.	(4)	
/Richard WL Ridley/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3656	/Thomas R. Hannon/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3656	/Marc Jimenez/

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office Part of Paper No. 20101019